App. F. IX-4

1933.
(Mezrai)

File No. 250-3

Previous References

Mz 250-32-7

Serial Nos. 4-9

Later References

~~Mz 250-32-1~~

Judy 1-40-26-22(31-33.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 6.

Part B for December

SUBJECT.

Review praying for the revision of the orders in the re eviction of the Shanmugam Mudaliar from the precincts of Sri Karekallu Anjaneya Swami Temple Mysore & Bangalore city

To the Shanmuga Mudaliar
Sri Karekallu
Anjaneya Swami temple
Bangalore city

No 876 & 22-12-33

Reject his petition to grant-
of leave

Misc Revision 27 of 1932-33.

General and Revenue Secretariat | Misc File No. 250 of 1932-3 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

4 — Petition of 27.5.33 by Mr C. Narasinga Rao - Advocate Bangalore on behalf of one Mr Thammegowda Mudaliar Son of late Raja Mudaliar - Native Doctor residing at the premises attached to Kakekalu Sri Anjaneya Temple Mysore Road Bangalore with praying that orders passed in Misc 26 of 32-33 be revised and that he be given a hearing before passing orders in regard to his occupying the premises attached to Sri Kakekalu Anjaneya Temple Mysore Road Bangalore etc

ordering the Second Member 29/5 of Council under P.W.D. Revenues. Stay order asked for

Misc Revision Misc 250-32-3 containing the minutes of the Second Member on the subject is put up for orders in the

29/5/33

Petition of 29/5/33 from Mr C. Narasinga Rao Advocate Bangalore praying for Stay Order in the Case of the Second Member

RECEIVED in the Office of the Board of Council 29 MAY 1933 No. 8.0.7.3

29/5/33

No Stay order. The records may be called for in view of the order made in the present petition

And the petition presented today is also put up

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0246 -GPB—15,000—22-8-32

File No. 250 of 1932

General and Secretariat

Opt. noted accordingly —

GS 29/5

Sd 30/5

TYPING SECT. 31 MAY 19 & REV. SE

No 1411 of 31/5/33 to Mr. C. Nanjundaiah Advocate Bangalore dtg declining the grant of his request for a stay order —

No 1412 of 31/5/33 to the Inspn Commissioner Calling for records Connected with the appeal petition.

Petition of 11.7.33 from Mr. Shanmugam Mudaliar - Native Doctor. Mysore Road - Bangalore City praying that he may be given an opportunity of a hearing to enable him to represent his grievances.

Vide para 4 Containing the minute of the Member in Mins 250-32-3 (not ack for repts - Petn from the Gentleman in the appl stage was rejected. Again he began for a review proceedings passed & asked for a stay order. The latter request has been Vetoed & the records have been called for

Whether the petitioner has any case in fact & whether it is to be posted for a hearing are matters to be decided only after the record are received —

Sub for perusal —

GS 29/7

Incl report with records may be

Encl 12/6

Serial No.

Awaited.

31/7/33

Jesurj)

1st AD 31.7.33 31/7

Letter no 14 15-/32-33 & 6.11.33 from the Muzrai Commissioner forwarding records connected with the revision petition of Mr Shanmugam Mudaliar in the matter of his eviction from the premises belonging to Sri Kurekal Anjaneya temple in the Mysore Road Bangalore.

Sri Kurekal Anjaneya Swamy temple in the Mysore Road Bangalore is a Muzrai Institution enjoying a cash grant of Rs 3/12 p.a. & endorsed lands, S.No 17 (Dry) measuring 1-32 bearing an assessment of Rs 2-8 & S.No 30 (Dry) measuring A.2 2-2 bearing an assessment 2-8-0

Verified from the grant with Record

Para 1 of Amildar's report of 29.8.28 (B)

The above petitioner in his revision petition prays for his being permitted to continue to live within the premises of the above temple on the ground that the temple was improved during his father's time — This fact is not borne out by the records received from the M.C.

As regards the present petitioner's father Raja Mudaliar Kunji Setty et al

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Mug File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

to Para 2 of the Bangalore Amildars report
No oc 141 of 19-20 dy 29.8.21 in which
it is said that the said Mudaliar is reported
to have been giving access and shelter to
in the temple premises
Moody persons having no character.

(ii) It is seen from para 3 of the
same report the present heir is reported
to have taken time for 6 months to vacate
the premises. He has also executed
a Muchalika to that effect. On
receipt of the Amildars report, the D.C on 25/9/21
ordered among other matters that Shanmuga Mudaliar (the present heir) shall be
immediately ousted from the temple
premises. The date of
the bond executed by the present heir Murugesan Mudaliar son of
Sami Mudaliar of Chamarajpet Bangalore,
The heir does not appear to have let any
rent for the building now vacated the
premises & contrary to the Muchalika executed
by the heir in 1921. As to the other facts
of the case, kind ref is invited to the
Note in M.C's office file (Mug Appl No 17/31-32)
While rejecting the pet^n, the M.C. wrote
wrote to the D.C. as in the letter dy 1.4.33.
The D.C has not given
the reasons for the extraordinary delay disclosed
in carrying out the
orders of the D.C. dy 25-9-21.

The pet^rs appeal pet^n was
rejected in 1643/Mug 250-32-3 dy 12-5-33

Review 27 of 1932-33.

Re: Shanmugam Mudaliar's request for permission to live in the Central Prison

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 3 of 193 . [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

and the grounds adduced in the revision petn are not tenable for reasons as set forth in paras 12, 13 + 14 supra

16) Submitted —

17 As the petnr wants to be given a hearing before the Revision petn is disposed of, it is submitted for orders re date of hearing. After the date is fixed a short note re facts of the case will be submitted as per instructions given.

11/12/33

Secretariat Council. 13 No. 3.7.6.

18) 12/12

19) I am not disp. in favour of a hearing in this case —

14/12

20) 15/12

21) In person before me

19/12

TYPIST SECTION 27 DEC 32 GENL. & REV

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Mad Rev 27 of 1932-33

C Mad 3 File No. 250 of 1932

General and Revenue Secretariat

No 876 of 22.12.33 to Mr Shanmugam of Tindivanam stating that no hearing can be given as desired by him

No 8 of 22.12.33 to the Madras Commissioner returning records received from him.

No 879 of 22.12.33 to Mr. C. Narasimha Rao advocate for petitioner intimating that the appeal petition is rejected & the Orders Sh confirmed

Q ✓
29.12.

Mg 250-32-4

Received by the Second Member of Council
27 MAY 1933
No. 8.0.3.

GENL. & REV. SECT.
1328
29 MAY 1933
MYSORE

Received by the Chairman

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Mg Rev - Petition No. 27 of 1932-33.

Reply

PETITIONER:- Shanmugam Mudaliar, son of the late Raja Mudaliar, Native Doctor, residing at the premises attached to the Karekallu Anjaneya Temple, Mysore Road, Bangalore City.

SPR 27/5

Stay order asked for 27/5

The abovenamed Petitioner most respectfully begs to submit as follows:-

1.The Petitioner is a native Doctor by profession, and has been permanently residing eversince his father's days, in one of the three houses attached to the Karekallu Anjaneya Temple, situate in Mysore Road, Bangalore City.

2.The Petitioner is aged about 42 years and is a batchelor. His one ambition in life is to continue to do the good work begun by his late father,Viz.,that of looking after the abovesaid Temple, as well as giving medical aid to those that need it at his hands.

3.More than 30 to 35 years ago, what is now known as the Karekallu Anjaneya Temple, was barely a stone "Mantap". It was the Petitioner's father who was a great devotee of

P.T.O.

of the said Temple, that effected the various improvements to the Temple from time to time and the gradual growth of that "Stone Mantap" into the fine Temple that is in existence today, sorrounded by its adjoining premises, bears, ample testimony to his steady, silent, and unostentatious labours in this behalf for a period well over 30 years. Indeed, so deep-seated was his devotion to the god at the said Temple and so keen was his desire to serve the suffering humanity that sought umbrage under the roof of that Temple, that the Petitioner's father disposed off his City house, soon after his wife's death, invested the entire proceeds thereof towards improvements of the said Temple and the construction of the house (wherein the petitioner is at present living) and settled down in this house, along with the Petitioner who was then barely a little child.

4.This house was built entirely at the cost of the Petitioner's father who wished always to be near the God to which he was so much devoted. At the time of the construction of this house and even for several years after it, neither the Taluk authorities nor anyone elseraised any objection either to the construction of this house or to Petitioner and his father living there; on the other hand, all the devotees who visited the Temple and the neighbours were very much pleased by this act of Petitioner's father. Petitioner's father

was rendering free medical aid to those who came to him for treatment and was also meeting a [redacted] portion of the Temple expenses from his private purse. The Petitioner also is discharging these duties which have devolved upon him after his father's death. The several receipts, given by the "Pujari" of the Temple, bear ample testimony to the above statement. These receipts are herewith produced for favour of perusal.

5.No one has any objection to Petitioner living there. The several Mahazars drawn in this behalf show that the neighbours and the devotees visiting the Temple for from having any objection to Petitioner living there, have in fact, prayed that the Petitioner should be allowed to live in the house built by his father. The Government has also been pleased to appoint the Petitioner as the Convener of the Temple and his residence near the Temple will also enable him to discharge more satisfactorily his duties in this behalf.

6.Some time after the death of the Petitioner's father, the Petitioner was served with a notice by the Bangalore Taluk Amildar calling upon him to vacate and to pay rent at a certain rate for the adjoining portion of the said Temple in which the Petitioner has been residing. The Petitioner thereupon made representations to the said authorities as regards the real state of affairs and the matter was apparently allowed to be dropped at that time.

P.T.O.

7.Again, another demand was made upon the Petitioner in this behalf early last year and the Petitioner thereupon approached the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, with a prayer that the original order directing the levy of rent from the Petitioner in respect of the Temple premises under his occupation, be set aside for reasons submitted in the said appeal. That appeal (Muz.Appeal No.17 of 31-32 on the file of the Muzrai Commissioner) was however dismissed on the ground that it was barred by time. The Petitioner thereupon preferred a revision petition to the Government of Mysore on 27-4-1933 last and this application was also rejected.

8.The Petitioner submits that he was not granted an opportunity to place his case before Government through his Counsel and that it is necessary in the interests of Justice and Equity that the above matter be reopened and the Petitioner be heard on merits before final orders are passed by the Government in this behalf.

9.The Petitioner submits that he is ready to place before Government certain proposals which, if accepted, will enable the Petitioner to continue his father's work at the Temple as is being done up till now.

10.Wherefore, the Petitioner most respectfully prays that the Benign Government be pleased to accord to him a hearing on merits to the above matter and his proposals may be graciously considered and the Petitioner be granted adequate

adequate reliefs so as to enable him to continue the work at the said Temple, which has devolved upon him eversince the death of his father.

The Petitioner prays that, as the Taluk authorities have been arranging to take co-ersive steps against the Petitioner to give effect to their original order, an order of ad-interim Stay be issued directing Stay of Execution of the said original order, pending disposal of this petition by Government.

Bangalore,
Dated 27th May 1933.

C. Narasimha Rao.
ADVOCATE FOR PETITIONER.

TWO RUPEES ೨ ರೂಪಾಯಿ

Before The Government of His Highness His Maharaja of Mysore ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

1932–1933 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ Misc. Petn. ನಂಬರು

ವಾದಿ–ಯಾ–ಆರ್ಜಿದಾರ್.		ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅಪೋನೆಂಟ್.
Shanmugam Pillai.	V.S.	

ಮಹಾರಾ|| C. Narasinga Rao B.A. ಎಂಬವರು
High Court Advocate
240 Chickpet ನಿವಾಸಿ.

The petitioner ಎಂಬವರು ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಪೈರುವರಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿನವಾದ ಜರುಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನ್ನ ವರ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ, ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ, ಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಹೊಬಲಗು, ಸಿಲ್ಕುಹೊಬಲಗು ಸಹ ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ದೆನ್ಸ್ಟೈವಲ್ ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೇನೆ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಯಾ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 27/5/33 ರುಜು Shanmugamudaliar

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯ ಸ್ವರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತಾ.ರೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

C. Narasinga Rao
Advocate

ಸೀತಾರಾಮಸ್ವೋರ್ಸ್ ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ.



BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE
MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
BANGALORE.

IV Mis 25-32-3

Petition No. of 1932- 1933.

* * * * *

Petitioner :- Shanmugam Mudaliar,
Native Doctor, residing
in the premises attached
to Karekallu Anjaneya
Temple , Mysore Road,
Bangalore City.

The petitioner most humbly prays that pending disposal of the above petition filed by him on 27-5-1933 a Stay Order may kindly be issued directing the order in question of the learned Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to be held in abeyance for the -- following reasons :-

1. The taluk authorities have finally informed the petitioner that if the latter fails to produce a stay order by to - day evening, he will be ejected from the house and his things thrown out to-morrow morning.

2. The house in question was built by petitioner 's father at his own cost more than 30 years ago and ever since that time, the premises is in the undisturbed occupation of petitioner's father during his life time and in petitioner 's possession after the father's death.

3. The petitioner is a bachelor. Except this house, which was built by his father, by selling away, his only City house, the petitioner has no other place of abode

4. Amongst the neighbours and the devotees who visit the temple not a single person has any objection to petitioner living there Many of them have in fact supported petitioner's prayer. The Petitioner has been appointed as the Convenor of the temple and his residence near the temple will enable him to discharge the duties in this behalf more satisfactorily.

5. Since the authorities are desirous of raising some rent, the petitioner himself may be allowed to remain there and the rent of Rs 7/- per mensem, reduced to a nominal figure in consideration of the fact that the house in question was built by petitioner's father at his own cost. After the death of the petitioner who is a bachelor, the Government may make use of this structure which belongs to the petitioner as they like and the petitioner is ready to execute a Mutchalika if need be to that effect.

6. No one is in any way prejudiced by the issue of a Stay Order, which if not issued will bring irreparable damage and unspeakable hardship to petitioner, who will be driven out of a house which was built by his father and in which they have lived for more than 30 years. Wherefore the Petitioner most humbly prays that in consideration of all that is stated above and particularly in view of the fact that he will be rendered homeless

homeless and left in the streets in the course of a few hours, the Federal Government may be pleased to [illegible] Northwestern Stage Co. in the matter.

Bismarck, City,
September 4, 1872.

Yours Respectfully,
Adv
[illegible]

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 31st May 1933.

No. 1711

Mog 250-32-6.

From

The

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To Mr. C.V. Narasinga Rao, B.A., LL.B.,

Advocate, Bangalore City.

Subject:- Prayer of Shanmugam Mudaliar, Native Doctor, Mysore Road, Bangalore City, in the matter of his eviction from the premises belonging to Sri Karekullu Anjaneya Temple in the Mysore Road

---

Sir,

Dear Sir,

With reference to petition dated 29th May 1933 filed by you on the above subject, I am directed to inform you that your request for the grant of a stay order in the matter cannot be granted.

Yours faithfully,

Sd. S. Shamanna.

Financial Secretary to Government.

Aga/3 Memo No. 1712 Enc 2

Dated, Bangalore the 31st May 1933.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Huzrai Commissioner in Mysore for information with a request to forward early the records connected with the enclosed petitions dated 27th May 1933 and 29/5/33 filed by the above said Advocate on the subject.

By Order,

For Financial Secretary to Govt.

F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mun.

File No. 250 32

Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to ....

No. 1711

To

Mr C. Narasinga Rao B.A.B.L.
Advocate Bangalore City

Prayer

Subject:— Revocation of ~~Re~~ of
Shanmugam Mudaliar
Native Doctor ~~Mysore~~ Mysore road
Bangalore city in the matter
of his eviction from the
premises belonging to
Sri Kanchalla Anjaneya
temple in the Mysore
Road.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your
dy 29/5/33 filed by you on the
above subject, I am directed
to inform you that your request
for the grant of a stay of

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 31/5/33

Signed by Mr B Muddukrishnappa

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 0253—GPB—15,000—26-8-32

in the matter Cannot be granted

I/ours faithfully

30/5

for H Sec.

Copy to m.c. with copy No 1712 Encl 2. for information. 31-5-33

Kindly write a request to

forward records Connected

with the enclosed fee dy 27/5/33 filed +29/5/33

by the above said advocate on the subject

B. O

30/5

for H Sec

GS

29/5

RUP E ۱۱-۶ ONE RUPEE ۱۱-۶

Appeal made 15/7/33 Jud. pln. No. 23

NCIAL S ...AT
15 JUL ...
No. ...

17/7

BEFORE THE OFFICIATING DEWAN OF MYSORE.

Review petition No. of 32-33.

GENL. & REV. SEC. 8/ 18 JUL 1933 MYSORE

PETITIONER:-

My 250-32-7

Shanmugam Mudaliar,
S/O late Raja Mudaliar,
Native Doctor, Lysore Road,
Bangalore City.

The petitioner above-named most respectfully and humbly prays for a review of the order communicated to him with Memo No.1644.Muz.250-32 dated the 12th May 1933 rejecting his prayer for being exempted from the payment of tax and allowed to continue in the premises attached to the Karelal Anjaneya Temple situated in the Mysore Road, for the following among other grounds :-

(1) The facts of the case are already set forth in detail in his appeal memo dated 27th April 1933, and as already stated therein, the present temple which was but a mantap some 35 years ago, was renovated and brought to its present condition by the petitioner's father who was a devotee, and who out of his private resources was meeting the expenses and the cost of the up-keep. To facilitate his term of devotion he was living in the premises put up as an adjunct to the temple. His devotion and philanthropy was very much appreciated by the people living in the neighbourhood. Nor was he at any time asked to pay any tax or rent for the building for the construction of which he

(continued)

he was himself responsible.

2. After the petitioner's father's death, the petitioner continued to live there, not merely as a devotee, but as a native physician doing free service to the people coming there for medical aid. He became so devoted to this self-imposed task that he determined to lead a celebrate life the better to serve God and humanity.

3. The Petitioner may humbly be permitted to state that his work was very much appreciated, and not only the neighbours wished him to continue there, but Government also were pleased to appoint him a Dharmadarsi of the temple. Nor was he asked all these years to pay the tax.

4. But all on a sudden, was the petitioner asked to pay a tax retrospectively but also to vacate the premises by the Deputy Commissioner on the recommendation of the Assistant.

5. The petitioner then appealed to the Tuzrai Commissioner, who without going into the merits of the case, and even without giving an opportunity to the petitioner, to represent his case, dismissed the appeal as time-barred.

6. Then, the petitioner preferred an appeal before the Foreign Government, who even without calling for records summarily rejected the petition for the following grounds :-

(a) A review is now prayed for and the petitioner humbly begs to pray that the building being one put up by his father, and he having lived there for over 30 years, and being useful service to the public, the Deputy Commissioner may humbly be asked to allow the

(continued)

the petitioner to continue there till his death thereafter, the building might be put to any use that Government think fit.

(b) Government in their order No.572-38 dated 23rd April 84 have ordered the exemption of religious institutions and the residence within the premises from payment of taxes. Such being the case, it is the petitioner's particular ill-luck that he should be so vexatiously treated.

(c) The petitioner feels that if the highest tribunal in the State at whose hands, he seeks redress and sympathy, is averse to grant the prayer of a poor suppliant, he cannot go any-where for redress.

(d) He prays that the prayer may kindly be viewed with sympathy and consideration and he be given an opportunity of a hearing so that he may put before Government his hard case and convince them of the justness of his case.

Shanmugamudaliar

Bangalore,
11th July 1933.

PETITIONER.

No. 1975/32-33
Enc 2 files & Ph.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 16 NOVEMBER ..... 1933.

29
2
6.

FINANCE SECRETARY
No.....

7/11

FROM

T. Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~SCHIRIANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,~~

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

FV SECTT. 584 7 NOV. 1933 GENL MUZRAI.

To

A. V. Rajaveelan, Esq., I.A.S.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,

Finance ... Department.

Mus C 0 - 22 - 8

SIR,

With reference to your [illegible] dated [illegible] calling for the records relative to the appeal of [illegible] Muthali before Government in the matter [illegible] in the proceedings of the Collector [illegible] I have the honor to forward herewith the records received with the Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's letter No. [illegible] dated 20-10-33 (original file, [illegible] their office file [illegible] in the matter [illegible] the facts of the case are fully explained in this office [illegible].

The appeal petition will be sent soon [illegible]

returned.

I have the hon
Sir,
Your most obedient

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.C3-216/36-37 dated 25-10-36 from the Deputy Commissioner, [illegible], Kalore District, to the Chief Commissioner in Mysore.

I have the honor to forward herewith the entire file of records connected with the appeal of Mr. Shanmugam Pillai, Public Actor, [illegible], Fort Area, Bangalore Civil, before Government in the matter of his eviction into the premises belonging to Mr. Rajaram Jaipuria Town, [illegible] in the Mysore Road, as mentioned in our memo C.3'16/36-37 dated 12th June 1936.

The appellant pleaded in the enclosures as recorded herein as above.

"COPY"

Chief Commissioner.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. Fh 876
Mis 250-32-9

Bangalore, Dated, 21st Decr. 1933.
2nd

ENDORSEMENT.

To

Mr. Shanmugham Mudaliar, son of late Raja Mudaliar, Native Doctor, living in the premises of Sri Narekala Anjaneya temple, Mysore Road, Bangalore City.

With reference to his petition dated the 27th May 1933 praying that the orders passed in respect of his eviction from the premises of Sri Narekala Anjaneya Temple, Bangalore, and communicated to him in endorsement No.1643/Muz.25-32-9 dated the 12th May 1933 may be revised and that he may be heard before orders are passed in the matter, Mr. Shanmugham Mudaliar is informed that Government are not in favour of granting a hearing as desired by him and his request to that effect is -- rejected.

By Order,

Sd. S.Shamanna,

SECRETARY TO GOVT.

vvk.4.

Memo.No. 878 & 22-12-33

Copy to Mr. C.Narasinga Rao, B.A.,LL.B., Advocate for the petitioner, Bangalore City.

BY ORDER,

Encl 2 files

877/Mis & 22-12-33

Financial Secretary to Govt.

Copy forwarded with complts. to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, returning the records rcd. with his letter No.1415/32-33 dated 6-11-1933.

BY ORDER,

Financial Secretary to Govt

F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mis

File No. 250 -32

Serial No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 876

To

Mr Shanmugam Mudaliar
Son of late Raja Mudaliar.
Native doctor, living in
the premises of Sri
Karekallu Anjaneya
temple. Mysore Road
Bangalore City.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 22/12/33

Signed by Mr B. Muddukrishnappa

With reference to his petn dy
27-5-1933 praying that the
~~for a revision of~~ orders
passed and communicated
in Endt No 16-43/
Mis 250-32-38 dy 12-5-33
may be revised
~~also praying~~ that he may be heard
before orders are passed in the matter,
Mr Shanmugam Mudaliar ...

~~Matter~~ inspection of his
~~Petition~~ petition from the premises
of Sri Karekallu Anjaneya
temple, Bangalore x N

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

is in favour of granting
a hearing as desired by him
~~that his petition is rejected~~.
as there are no reasons for
a reconsideration of orders
passed in the matter.

O.C.

18/12/33

878 & 22-12-33.
Copy to Mr. C. Narasinga Rao B.A. B.L.
Advocate. Bapatla.

877 2 files 22/12/33
Copy to Mr. returning Records
received with his letter dt.
1415/32-33 & 6111/33

O.C.

18/12/33